

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 * * *

7 LEO CONO.,

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 REGINA RICHARDS, et al.,

11 Defendants.

Case No. 2:18-cv-01845-RFB-EJY

ORDER

12
13 The Court has an independent obligation to determine whether it has jurisdiction over
14 the claims pending before it. The Court has reviewed the Plaintiff's Amended Complaint in this
15 case and finds that Plaintiff has not stated claims over which this Court would or does have
16 jurisdiction. The Amended Complaint contains a litany of unintelligible allegations against
17 unconnected defendants. This form of complaint cannot serve as a basis for this court's limited
18 jurisdiction. The Amended Complaint will therefore be dismissed in this case. The Court also does
19 not find that it can discern identifiable claims that could be elaborated in another amended
20 complaint.

21 For the reasons stated above,

22 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Amended Complaint is DISMISSED. All pending
23 motions are dismissed. The Clerk of Court is instructed to close this case.

24
25 DATED: September 27, 2019.

26
27 

28 **RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE